

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,870	02/27/2004	Vadim Fux	555255012558	7232	
89441 Jones Day (RI		12/04/2009 EXAMINER		IINER	
North Point			PATEL, MANGLESH M		
901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
cierenna, or	Citronia, Cit 1111		2178		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dlpejeau@jonesday.com portfolioprosecution@rim.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/788,870	FUX ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MANGLESH M. PATEL	2178		

	MANGLESH M. PATEL	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		26(a) and the engraprish	o ovtonoion foo				
Laterisons of mile may be doubland on under 3 v months of the period of extunder 3.7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	and the time period det letter in er	o					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24 and 26-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: (See Continuation Sheet).							
	/CESAR B PAULA/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2178					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Applicant Argues: The office fails to cite to a teaching or suggestion of receiving text data addressed to a designated one of the devices, comparing font identifiers in the text data with fonts in the capabilities list of the designated device to determine lacking font structure data, and transferring lacking font structure data to the designated device. (pg 8, paragraph 3)

This clearly does not meet the claim language that requires a comparison involving font identifiers in text data addressed to a designated device. (pg 9, paragraph 1)

In Flowers, the data has already been received at the client. There can be no comparison of font identifiers...(pg 10, paragraph 2)

The Examiner Respectfully Disagrees: See Final Office Action Dated 9/14/2009 specifically pg 9 paragraphs 2 and 4. The designated device is the requesting device to which the FAF servier determines by comparing font identifiers using abbreviated identification information as disclosed in the Final action pg 8 paragraph 1.